

Name: Anthony June

I object to an increase in attorney dues for the State Bar of Michigan Operations and the Attorney Discipline System as proposed.

I would be more open to entertaining an increase if I had additional information about how the increased dues would be applied 'to continue the valuable services and resources the Bar provides for its members'.

I am sure not all members utilize services and resources equally, and the SBM has not articulated how they intend to utilize the increased dues to specific services or resources they believe are used by their membership. I have to expect more from our membership organization in this regard.

Additionally, the SBM has expressed '[f]or 18 years, against the compounding pressure of inflation, SBM has provided these services — and many, many more — through fiscal prudence, increasingly leaner operations, and the enormous contribution of volunteer attorneys'. I am sure they can continue for the next year or two using similar methods while they articulate an improved response on how an 22% increase in dues will benefits its membership.

In agreement with related arguments my other colleagues have articulated in their responses, I would reject the adoption of this proposal as presented but would entertain a tiered increase overtime as long as the specific ways those funds intend to be used is a clearly presented.

Respectfully submitted,

AJ