

Name: James Baker

Date: 04/30/2023

ADM File Number: 2022-03

Comment:

This proposed rule is incorrect in its foundation for a clear and simple reason contained in the rule itself. This incorrect reason is the word "Require". You cannot require or force or compel speech. It is against reason and the State of Michigan constitution.

Against reason since the current gender confusion is not based in science, it is based on feelings. Additionally, you cannot compel me to affirm someone else's mental delusion. I can accept that you may feel a certain way; however, I will not affirm (that they are correct in their reasoning or feeling). If someone thinks they are obese, yet are anorexic. They will have my compassion and they need help; however, I do not need to agree that they are obese. The court's proposed rule would require me to confirm that they are obese.

This gender dysphoria is a modern construct resulting from a lack of logic or sound reasoning. It is against the state constitution because it is unlawful to compel my speech.

This proposed rule is a distraction and the court needs to concentrate on its business, not get involved with gender dysphoria, nor propose rules contrary to the state Constitution. If the court goes down this fallacious path, it will not end, the court will be accommodating every claim or every party, people who claim to be inanimate objects, animals, multiple parties claiming to be individuals, etc.