

# Order

Michigan Supreme Court  
Lansing, Michigan

June 25, 2025

Megan K. Cavanagh,  
Chief Justice

ADM File No. 2021-29

Brian K. Zahra  
Richard H. Bernstein  
Elizabeth M. Welch  
Kyra H. Bolden  
Kimberly A. Thomas  
Noah P. Hood,  
Justices

Proposed Amendment of  
Rule 6.201 of the Michigan  
Court Rules

---

The Court, having given an opportunity for comment in writing and at a public hearing, again seeks public comment regarding the proposed amendment of Rule 6.201 of the Michigan Court Rules. The Court has revised the [original proposal](#) and is interested in receiving additional comments on this revised proposal.

On order of the Court, this is to advise that the Court is considering an amendment of Rule 6.201 of the Michigan Court Rules. Before determining whether the proposal should be adopted, changed before adoption, or rejected, this notice is given to afford interested persons the opportunity to comment on the form or the merits of the proposal or to suggest alternatives. The Court welcomes the views of all. This matter will also be considered at a public hearing. The notices and agendas for each public hearing are posted on the [Public Administrative Hearings](#) page.

Publication of this proposal does not mean that the Court will issue an order on the subject, nor does it imply probable adoption of the proposal in its present form.

[Additions to the text are indicated in underlining and  
deleted text is shown by strikeover.]

## Rule 6.201 Discovery

- (A) [Unchanged.]
- (B) Discovery of Information Known to the Prosecuting Attorney. Upon request, the prosecuting attorney must provide each defendant:
  - (1) [Unchanged.]
  - (2) any police report and interrogation records concerning the case, except so much of a report as:
    - (a) concerns a continuing investigation;

- (b) contains any personal identifying information protected by MCR 1.109(D)(9)(a), which may be redacted;
- (c) contains information otherwise protected under MCR 6.201, which may be redacted.

(3)-(5) [Unchanged.]

(C)-(K) [Unchanged.]

**Staff Comment (ADM File No. 2021-29):** The proposed amendment of MCR 6.201 would require, before providing a police report or interrogation record to the defendant, redaction of personal identifying information and information otherwise protected under the rule.

The staff comment is not an authoritative construction by the Court. In addition, adoption of a new rule or amendment in no way reflects a substantive determination by this Court.

A copy of this order will be given to the Secretary of the State Bar and to the State Court Administrator so that they can make the notifications specified in MCR 1.201. Comments on the proposal may be submitted by October 1, 2025 by clicking on the “Comment on this Proposal” link under this proposal on the [Court’s Proposed & Adopted Orders on Administrative Matters](#) page. You may also submit a comment in writing at P.O. Box 30052, Lansing, MI 48909 or via email at [ADMcomment@courts.mi.gov](mailto:ADMcomment@courts.mi.gov). When submitting a comment, please refer to ADM File No. 2021-29. Your comments and the comments of others will be posted under the chapter affected by this proposal.



I, Larry S. Royster, Clerk of the Michigan Supreme Court, certify that the foregoing is a true and complete copy of the order entered at the direction of the Court.

June 25, 2025

Clerk