# STATE OF MICHIGAN

# COURT OF APPEALS

---

In re CONTEMPT of DEMETRIA BRUE,

---

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN,

Plaintiff,

and

THIRD CIRCUIT JUDGE,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v

STEVEN PIRRONE,

Defendant,

and

DEMETRIA BRUE,

Defendant-Appellant.

UNPUBLISHED
December 20, 2005

No. 254716
Wayne Circuit Court
LC No. 03-012139

---

Before: Owens, P.J., and Saad and Fort Hood, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Defendant-appellant appeals as of right from the trial court order holding her in civil contempt. We affirm.

Defendant-appellant, a prosecuting attorney, argues that the trial court erred in imposing a sanction for civil contempt, instead of criminal. However, defendant-appellant has waived her right to appellate review of this issue because of the "invited error" doctrine. *People v Jones*, 468 Mich 345, 352; 662 NW2d 376 (2003). Defendant-appellant informed the trial court that she did not have a problem with the fine that the trial court imposed. However, she requested that the trial court impose the sanction as civil contempt, not criminal contempt, because she was concerned about possible ramifications on her employment. Because defendant-appellant invited the error, she waived her right to seek appellate review and any error is extinguished. *People v Carter*, 462 Mich 206, 214-215; 612 NW2d 144 (2000).

Moreover, in light of the trial court's warning to defendant-appellant that she not continue to interrupt counsel and its finding that defendant-appellant's behavior was impeding the progress of the trial, we cannot find that the trial court abused its discretion. *In re Contempt of Auto Club Ins Ass'n*, 243 Mich App 697, 715; 624 NW2d 443 (2000).

Affirmed.

/s/ Donald S. Owens
/s/ Henry William Saad
/s/ Karen M. Fort Hood