Court of Appeals, State of Michigan

ORDER

SKF V ED JR

Docket No. **381201**

LC No. **25-003590-PH**

Stephen L. Borrello, Chief Judge Pro Tem, acting under MCR 7.203(F)(1), orders:

The claim of appeal is DISMISSED for lack of jurisdiction because the June 5, 2026 order is not appealable by right. MCR 3.709(B)(1). While a ruling on a "respondent's *first* motion to rescind or modify" an ex parte personal protection order (PPO) is appealable by right, MCR 3.709(B)(1)(b) (emphasis added), the June 5, 2026 order did not decide respondent's *first* motion to modify or terminate the ex parte PPO, which was entered on April 18, 2025. Rather, the June 5, 2026 order decided a subsequent motion to modify or terminate the ex parte PPO after it was extended for a second time. Therefore, the June 5, 2026 order may only be appealed by leave to appeal. MCR 3.709(B)(2). Dismissal is without prejudice to respondent-appellant filing an application for leave to appeal, MCR 7.205, provided such a filing meets all requirements under the court rules and is not time-barred.

A true copy entered and certified by Jerome W. Zimmer Jr., Chief Clerk, on

June 23, 2026
Date

Chief Clerk