

Order

Michigan Supreme Court
Lansing, Michigan

October 28, 2020

Bridget M. McCormack,
Chief Justice

ADM File No. 2020-26

David F. Viviano,
Chief Justice Pro Tem

Proposed Amendments of
Rules 1.109 and 8.119 of the
Michigan Court Rules

Stephen J. Markman
Brian K. Zahra
Richard H. Bernstein
Elizabeth T. Clement
Megan K. Cavanagh,
Justices

On order of the Court, this is to advise that the Court is considering amendments of Rules 1.109 and 8.119 of the Michigan Court Rules. Before determining whether the proposal should be adopted, changed before adoption, or rejected, this notice is given to afford interested persons the opportunity to comment on the form or the merits of the proposal or to suggest alternatives. The Court welcomes the views of all. This matter also will be considered at a public hearing. The notices and agendas for public hearings are posted at [Administrative Matters & Court Rules page](#).

Publication of this proposal does not mean that the Court will issue an order on the subject, nor does it imply probable adoption of the proposal in its present form.

[Additions to the text are indicated in underlining and
deleted text is shown by strikeover.]

Rule 1.109 Court Records Defined; Document Defined; Filing Standards; Signatures;
Electronic Filing and Service; Access

(A)-(C) [Unchanged.]

(D) Filing Standards.

(1)-(8) [Unchanged.]

(9) Personal Identifying Information.

(a) [Unchanged.]

(b) Filing, Accessing, and Serving Personal Identifying Information

(i)-(ii) [Unchanged.]

(iii) If a party is required to include protected personal identifying information in a public document filed with the court, the party shall file the document with the protected personal identifying

information redacted, along with a personal identifying information form approved by the State Court Administrative Office under subrule (i). The personal identifying information form must identify each item of redacted information and specify an appropriate reference that uniquely corresponds to each item of redacted information listed. All references in the case to the redacted identifiers listed in the personal identifying information form will be understood to refer to the corresponding complete identifier. A party may amend the personal identifying information form as of right. Fields for protected personal identifying information ~~may will not~~ be included in SCAO-approved court forms, and the information will be protected, in the form and manner established by the State Court Administrative Office.

(iv)-(vii) [Unchanged.]

(c)-(e) [Unchanged.]

(10) Request for Copy of Public Document with Protected Personal Identifying Information; Redacting Personal Identifying Information; Responsibility; Certifying Original Record; Other.

(a) The responsibility for excluding or redacting personal identifying information listed in subrule (9) from all documents filed with or offered to the court rests solely with the parties and their attorneys. The clerk of the court is not required to review, redact, or screen documents at time of filing for personal identifying information, protected or otherwise, whether filed electronically or on paper. For a document filed with or offered to the court, the clerk of the court is not required to redact protected personal identifying information from that document before providing a requested copy of the document (whether requested in person or via the internet) or before providing direct access to the document via a publicly accessible computer at the courthouse. The clerk of the court is required to redact protected personal identifying information before providing direct access to the document via the internet, such as through the court's website.

(b)-(e) [Unchanged.]

(E)-(H) [Unchanged.]

Rule 8.119 Court Records and Reports; Duties of Clerks

(A)-(G) [Unchanged.]

(H) Access to Records. Except as otherwise provided in subrule (F), only case records as defined in subrule (D) are public records, subject to access in accordance with these rules. The clerk shall not permit any case record to be taken from the court without the order of the court. A court may provide access to the public case history information through a publicly accessible website, and business court opinions may be made available as part of an indexed list as required under MCL 600.8039. If a request is made for a public record that is maintained electronically, the court is required to provide a means for access to that record; ~~however, the documents cannot be provided through a publicly accessible website if protected personal identifying information has not been redacted from those documents.~~ If a public document prepared or issued by the court contains protected personal identifying information, the information must be redacted before it can be provided to the public, whether the document is provided via a paper or electronic copy, direct access via a publicly accessible computer at the courthouse, or direct access via the internet, such as on the court's website. The court may provide access to any case record that is not available in paper or digital image, as defined by MCR 1.109(B), if it can reasonably accommodate the request. Any materials filed with the court pursuant to MCR 1.109(D), in a medium for which the court does not have the means to readily access and reproduce those materials, may be made available for public inspection using court equipment only. The court is not required to provide the means to access or reproduce the contents of those materials if the means is not already available.

(1)-(2) [Unchanged.]

(I)-(L) [Unchanged.]

Staff comment: The proposed amendments of MCR 1.109 and 8.119 would allow SCAO flexibility in protecting an individual's personal identifying information and clarify when a court is and is not required to redact protected personal identifying information.

The staff comment is not an authoritative construction by the Court. In addition, adoption of an amendment in no way reflects a substantive determination by this Court.

A copy of this order will be given to the Secretary of the State Bar and to the State Court Administrator so that they can make the notifications specified in MCR 1.201. Comments on the proposal may be sent to the Supreme Court Clerk in writing or electronically by February 1, 2021, at P.O. Box 30052, Lansing, MI 48909, or ADMcomment@courts.mi.gov. When filing a comment, please refer to ADM File No. 2020-26. Your comments and the comments of others will be posted under the chapter affected by this proposal at [Proposed & Recently Adopted Orders on Admin Matters page](#).



I, Larry S. Royster, Clerk of the Michigan Supreme Court, certify that the foregoing is a true and complete copy of the order entered at the direction of the Court.

October 28, 2020

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Larry S. Royster", is written over a horizontal line.

Clerk