

Order

Michigan Supreme Court
Lansing, Michigan

September 21, 2022

Bridget M. McCormack,
Chief Justice

ADM File No. 2021-40

Proposed Amendment of
Rule 5 of the Rules for
the Board of Law Examiners

Brian K. Zahra
David F. Viviano
Richard H. Bernstein
Elizabeth T. Clement
Megan K. Cavanagh
Elizabeth M. Welch,
Justices

On order of the Court, this is to advise that the Court is considering an amendment of Rule 5 of the Rules for the Board of Law Examiners. Before determining whether the proposal should be adopted, changed before adoption, or rejected, this notice is given to afford interested persons the opportunity to comment on the form or the merits of the proposal or to suggest alternatives. The Court welcomes the views of all. This matter will also be considered at a public hearing. The notices and agendas for each public hearing are posted on the [Public Administrative Hearings](#) page.

Publication of this proposal does not mean that the Court will issue an order on the subject, nor does it imply probable adoption of the proposal in its present form.

[Additions to the text are indicated in underlining and
deleted text is shown by strikeover.]

Rule 5 Admission Without Examination

(A) An applicant for admission without examination must

(1)-(6) [Unchanged.]

(7) Definitions. For purposes of this rule, the following definitions apply.

(a) “Full-time” is 21 or more hours per week.

(b) “Instructor” includes a clinical instructor. A clinical instructor is someone whose responsibilities include teaching and supervising law students in a clinic organized by an accredited law school.

(B)-(F) [Unchanged.]

Staff Comment (ADM File No. 2021-40): The proposed amendment of Rule 5 of the Rules for the Board of Law Examiners would define the terms “full-time” and “instructor” to clarify that clinical instructors may be admitted to the bar without examination.

The staff comment is not an authoritative construction by the Court. In addition, adoption of a new rule or amendment in no way reflects a substantive determination by this Court.

A copy of this order will be given to the Secretary of the State Bar and to the State Court Administrator so that they can make the notifications specified in MCR 1.201. Comments on the proposal may be submitted by January 1, 2023 by clicking on the “Comment on this Proposal” link under this proposal on the [Court’s Proposed & Adopted Orders on Administrative Matters](#) page. You may also submit a comment in writing at P.O. Box 30052, Lansing, MI 48909 or via email at ADMcomment@courts.mi.gov. When filing a comment, please refer to ADM File No. 2021-40. Your comments and the comments of others will be posted under the chapter affected by this proposal.



I, Larry S. Royster, Clerk of the Michigan Supreme Court, certify that the foregoing is a true and complete copy of the order entered at the direction of the Court.

September 21, 2022

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Larry S. Royster", is written over a horizontal line.

Clerk