



Michigan Supreme Court

State Court Administrative Office

Field Services Division

Michigan Hall of Justice

P.O. Box 30048

Lansing, Michigan 48909

Phone (517) 373-4835

Ryan P. Gamby
Field Services Director

MEMORANDUM

DATE: April 10, 2026
FROM: SCAO Forms Team
RE: Explanation of Changes to MC 393

Below is a SCAO-approved court form that was recently revised. An explanation of the changes, along with instructions on use of the previously approved version and a copy of the form with the changes highlighted, is provided.

[MC 393, Certification to Department of State \(Interlock Program\)](#)

Most recent update: (4/26) version

Use of previously approved version: (3/25) version may be used until July 9, 2026.

The form was revised to include the list of specialty courts to specify which court is sending the certification. The changes are highlighted in the attached [MC 393](#).

If the updated form does not display upon opening, try clearing your cache.

Email: CourtFormsInfo@courts.mi.gov.

STATE OF MICHIGAN JUDICIAL DISTRICT JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COUNTY	CERTIFICATION TO DEPARTMENT OF STATE (INTERLOCK PROGRAM) Part 1	CASE NO. and JUDGE
--	--	---------------------------

Court address

Court telephone no.

THE PEOPLE OF

The State of Michigan

Defendant's name, address, and telephone no.	
Driver's License No.	DOB
CTN/TCN	SID

v

TO: Department of State

1. The defendant has been admitted into a specialty court **interlock** program. _____

DWI/Sobriety Court
 Drug Treatment Court
 Veterans Treatment Court
 Mental Health Court
 Other: _____

2. The defendant has had an approved, certified ignition interlock device installed in each motor vehicle owned or operated, or both, by the defendant as required under MCL 257.625k and MCL 257.625l.

3. Travel is is not allowed under MCL 257.304(4)(b)(xi).

4. The defendant has successfully completed a specialty court program.

DWI/Sobriety Court
 Drug Treatment Court
 Veterans Treatment Court
 Mental Health Court
 Other: _____

5. Under MCL 600.1084(7), the Secretary of State is informed that:

a. the court ordered that the defendant be removed from a specialty court program before he or she successfully completed it.
 b. the court became aware that the defendant operated a motor vehicle that was not equipped with an interlock device.
 c. the court became aware that the defendant tampered with circumvented removed
a court-ordered interlock device without prior court approval.
 d. the defendant was charged with a new violation of MCL 257.625.

6. The interlock device was removed from the defendant's vehicle because:

Judge signature and date

STATE OF MICHIGAN JUDICIAL DISTRICT JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COUNTY	CERTIFICATION TO DEPARTMENT OF STATE (INTERLOCK PROGRAM) Part 2	CASE NO. and JUDGE
--	--	---------------------------

Court address Court telephone no.

THE PEOPLE OF

The State of Michigan

Defendant's name, address, and telephone no.

v

Driver's License No.	DOB
CTN/TCN	SID

TO: Department of State

1. The defendant has been admitted into a specialty court interlock program.

DWI/Sobriety Court
 Drug Treatment Court
 Veterans Treatment Court
 Mental Health Court
 Other: _____

2. The defendant has had an approved, certified ignition interlock device installed in each motor vehicle owned or operated, or both, by the defendant as required under MCL 257.625k and MCL 257.625l.

3. Travel is is not allowed under MCL 257.304(4)(b)(xi).

4. The defendant has successfully completed a specialty court program.

DWI/Sobriety Court
 Drug Treatment Court
 Veterans Treatment Court
 Mental Health Court
 Other: _____

5. Under MCL 600.1084(7), the Secretary of State is informed that:

a. the court ordered that the defendant be removed from a specialty court program before he or she successfully completed it.
 b. the court became aware that the defendant operated a motor vehicle that was not equipped with an interlock device.
 c. the court became aware that the defendant tampered with circumvented removed a court-ordered interlock device without prior court approval.
 d. the defendant was charged with a new violation of MCL 257.625.

6. The interlock device was removed from the defendant's vehicle because:

Judge signature and date