

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF CLAIMS

DAVID KRIEGER, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v

Case Nos. 20-000094-MM; 20-000102-MM;
20-000103-MM; 20-000111-MM; 20-
000112-MZ; 20-000116-MM; 20-000118-
MM; 20-000121-MM; 20-000140-MM; 20-
000151-MM; 20-000156-MM; 20-000230-
MM; 20-000232-MZ; 20-000233-MM; 20-
000235-MM; 20-000236-MM; 20-000237-
MM; 20-000239-MM; 20-000240-MZ; 20-
000241-MM; 20-000245-MM; 20-000246-
MM; 20-000257-MM; 20-000260-MM; 20-
000262-MM; 23-000073-MM; 23-000074-
MZ; 23-000076-MM

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF
ENVIRONMENT, GREAT LAKES, AND
ENERGY, et al.,

Hon. James Robert Redford

Defendants.

/

**OPINION AND ORDER GRANTING IN PART, DENYING IN PART DEFENDANTS'
MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY DISPOSITION**

On June 7, 2024, Defendants Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy, and Michigan Department of Natural Resources (collectively, "EGLE") moved for partial summary disposition under MCR 2.116(C)(7). EGLE seeks dismissal of claims filed by approximately 500 plaintiffs who allegedly did not comply with the notice, verification and other filing requirements of the Court of Claims Act (COCA), particularly MCL 600.6431, 600.6434,

and 600.6452. All plaintiffs against whom summary disposition is sought are within the category of “Mass Tort Plaintiffs” as defined in this Court’s February 23, 2024 Case Management Order (CMO). None of these persons and entities are seeking representation through a putative class but, rather, appear in this consolidated action to vindicate their own claims.

Plaintiffs’ response to EGLE’s motion for summary disposition avers that “this Court has the authority to amend the processes to prevent the harsh and needless remedy of dismissal” of their claims. Binding precedent from the Michigan Supreme Court indicates otherwise. The notice-and-verification requirements in MCL 600.6431 “appl[y] to *all* claims against the state, . . . except as otherwise exempted in MCL 600.6431 itself.” *Christie v Wayne State Univ*, 511 Mich 39, 52; 993 NW2d 203 (2023) (emphasis added). This includes constitutional claims such as that alleged here. *See, e.g., Mays v Snyder*, 323 Mich App 1, 26-32; 916 NW2d 227 (2018) (*Mays I*), *aff’d Mays v Governor of Mich*, 506 Mich 157, 180-187; 954 NW2d 139 (2020) (*Mays II*).

Accordingly, the Court GRANTS EGLE’s motion for partial summary disposition with respect to any claim filed on behalf of a Mass Tort Plaintiff who did not file either a verified notice of intent or a verified claim within six (6) months from the date the claim(s) accrued under MCL 600.6431(1), (2), and (4). The Court also GRANTS EGLE’s motion for summary disposition as to any claim filed on behalf of a Mass Tort Plaintiff who timely filed a verified notice of intent but did not file a complaint within three (3) years from the date the claim(s) accrued. See MCL 600.6452(1). When calculating compliance with the six-month and three-year periods, the parties must include any applicable extension(s) and tolling authorized as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic pursuant to Executive Order 2020-58 and the Supreme Court’s Administrative Order 2020-18 (COVID-19 Tolling).

The Court DENIES EGLE's motion for summary disposition as to any claim filed on behalf of a Mass Tort Plaintiff for whom dismissal is sought solely because the plaintiff's timely filed complaint was not verified. These plaintiffs reportedly filed either a verified notice of intent or a verified claim within the six-month period and filed a complaint within three years, but failed to timely file a verified complaint. Plaintiffs' counsel avers that some of these individuals already filed a verification with the short form complaint, and these plaintiffs need not do anything further. Those plaintiffs who have not yet filed a verified complaint have thirty (30) days from the date of this opinion and order to file an amended complaint with the appropriate verification. See MCL 600.6434(2). Failure to file this amended, verified complaint within thirty (30) days shall result in dismissal of their claims. The Court also DENIES EGLE's motion with respect to those plaintiffs for whom the current record presents a question of fact as to whether a particular plaintiff timely filed either the verified notice and/or the complaint. These plaintiffs also have thirty (30) days from the date of this opinion and order to present an explanation with supporting documentation of their compliance with the COCA. EGLE's counsel will have the opportunity to file a response, and the Court will issue a final opinion and order in response to this.

For purposes of effectuating this opinion and order, Exhibits A through D attached hereto were prepared by information provided by EGLE's counsel, with comments provided by the Mass Tort Plaintiffs' counsel. Exhibit A identifies those plaintiffs who reportedly failed to timely comply with MCL 600.6431(1), (2) and (4) or MCL 600.6452(1), even after COVID-19 Tolling is applied. In other words, these plaintiffs reportedly failed to timely file a verified notice of intent and/or failed to file a complaint (verified or not) within the time period required by MCL 600.6452. Their claims must be dismissed, unless within thirty (30) days of this opinion and order, the Mass Tort Plaintiffs' counsel files a stipulation identifying those plaintiffs whom the parties agree are

erroneously named on Exhibit A, or counsel for the Mass Tort Plaintiffs files a motion with supporting documentation demonstrating that any plaintiff identified on Exhibit A timely complied with MCL 600.6431(1), (2) and (4) and MCL 600.6452(1). Based on counsels' comments, it appears that some of these plaintiffs not only failed to file a verified notice of intent or verified claim, but also, failed to file a verified complaint. These persons are identified in the "Comments" column on Exhibit A. For these persons, counsel should provide a copy of the verified notice of intent and, also, a verification either from a person with demonstrated legal authority to verify the complaint on behalf of the named plaintiff, or an amendment to a complaint with appropriate verification. EGLE's counsel shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of any such motion to file a response, and the Court will issue an opinion and order with a final Exhibit A identifying those plaintiffs, if any, now on Exhibit A whose claims must be dismissed.

Exhibit B attached hereto identifies those plaintiffs who reportedly timely complied with MCL 600.6431 and MCL 600.6452, but failed to timely file a verified complaint as required by MCL 600.6434(2). Some of these plaintiffs submitted verification with the short form complaint and, where noted, these plaintiffs need to do nothing further. Those plaintiffs who are not recognized as submitting a verification with the short form complaint must file either a copy of the timely verification already filed or an amended complaint that includes appropriate verification within thirty (30) days from the date of this opinion and order or their claims will be dismissed. EGLE's counsel may file a response within fourteen (14) days objecting to any amendment, but it is not required to do so. The Court will issue an opinion and order with a final Exhibit B identifying those plaintiffs, if any, now identified on Exhibit B who did not provide verification and, therefore, must be dismissed.

Finally, Exhibits C and D attached hereto identify those plaintiffs whom EGLE believes did not comply with the COCA and the Mass Tort Plaintiffs' counsel disagrees. Some of these plaintiffs, i.e., those identified in Exhibit C, are persons or entities for whom an individual other than the named plaintiff reportedly verified either the notice or complaint on the named plaintiff's behalf. With respect to these plaintiffs, counsel must provide an explanation with supporting documentation evidencing the legal authority of the signatory to sign on behalf of the named plaintiff. Such explanation and supporting documentation shall be filed within forty-five (45) days of this opinion and order.

With respect to the plaintiffs identified in Exhibit D, counsel avers that timely verifications were filed. The Court is not able to locate these records; therefore, counsel shall provide the Court with a copy of the timely verification signed by the named plaintiff within thirty (30) days from the date of this opinion and order. If there is a dispute as to whether the complaint was timely filed, or whether verification was provided, counsel shall also file an explanation or supporting documentation demonstrating the timely filing of the complaint and/or verification of the same. Verification may be provided by amended complaint, if applicable, as with the plaintiffs identified in Exhibit B. EGLE's counsel shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of the filings related to Exhibits C and D to file a response. The Court will issue an opinion and order with a final Exhibit C and D that identifies the plaintiffs, if any, now named on these exhibits whose claims must be dismissed.

I. BACKGROUND

This consolidated action represents numerous lawsuits filed on behalf of persons and entities who allegedly "experienced significant damages to their property, possessory rights, rights

of use, and/or occupancy rights" in residential and/or commercial properties when first the Edenville Dam and, then, the Sanford Dam, failed on May 19, 2020. Approximately 2,000 persons and entities are named as plaintiffs; however, defendants' motion for partial summary disposition is directed at a subset of approximately 500 plaintiffs who are classified as Mass Tort Plaintiffs in the CMO. These persons and entities are not seeking to join the putative class but, rather, are named as plaintiffs in one of these consolidated cases to recover individual claims.

EGLE directed this motion to those Mass Tort Plaintiffs who allegedly failed to comply with the notice, verification and filing requirements of the Court of Claims Act—even after accounting for the COVID-19 Tolling. The specific failure differs. During an on-the-record hearing on September 9, 2024, the Court requested EGLE's counsel provide a spreadsheet identifying the name of each plaintiff for whom dismissal is sought followed by information as to whether this person or entity timely filed a notice of intent (verified or not) and timely complaint (verified or not). The Court requested this chart first be provided to counsel for the Mass Tort Plaintiffs for consultation, comments, and correction before filing with the Court. The result was an exchange of information that allowed EGLE to withdraw its motion as to several plaintiffs for whom counsel provided a copy of the allegedly missing documentation. It also facilitated comments from the Mass Tort Plaintiffs' counsel as to certain plaintiffs for whom there is a factual disagreement regarding the plaintiff's timely compliance with the COCA. The Court reviewed these spreadsheets as well as counsels' comments, the applicable law and legal arguments, and prepared Exhibits A through D based on the information provided.

II. ANALYSIS

EGLE seeks summary disposition under MCR 2.116(C)(7) for those plaintiffs who allegedly failed to comply with MCL 600.6431(1), (2) and (4), MCL 600.6434, and MCL 600.6452(1), after consideration of tolling and time extensions applicable because of administrative and executive orders issued in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

MCR 2.116(C)(7) authorizes summary disposition when, among other things, immunity applies as a matter of law. When evaluating a motion under this court rule, this Court reviews “affidavits, pleadings, and other documentary evidence presented by the parties,” accepting “plaintiff’s well-pleaded allegations as true, except those contradicted by documentary evidence,” to determine whether immunity applies as a matter of law. *McLean v Dearborn*, 302 Mich App 68, 72-73; 836 NW2d 916 (2013). Such documentary evidence must be viewed in the light most favorable to plaintiffs as the nonmoving parties. *Lavey v Mills*, 248 Mich App 244, 250; 639 NW2d 261 (2001). “If no facts are in dispute, and if reasonable minds could not differ regarding the legal effect of those facts, the question whether the claim is barred is an issue of law for the court.” *Dextrom v Wexford Co*, 287 Mich App 406, 429; 789 NW2d 211 (2010). “[I]f a question of fact exists so that factual development could provide a basis for recovery. . . . dismissal without further factual development is inappropriate.” *Id.*

Michigan law is unequivocal: the notice-and-verification requirements of the Court of Claims Act “apply to all claims against the state” except as expressly exempted in the COCA itself. *Christie*, 511 Mich at 44-45. Contrary to the Mass Tort Plaintiffs’ contention, there is no blanket exception for cases like this, which allege a constitutional violation. To the contrary, Michigan’s appellate courts have applied the COCA notice and filing requirements to constitutional claims on

numerous occasions. See, e.g., *Bauserman v Unemployment Ins Agency*, 503 Mich 169, 180-186; 931 NW2d 539 (2019) (applying 6-month notice period in MCL 600.6431 to due process claim); *Rusha v Dep't of Corrections*, 307 Mich App 300, 301; 859 NW2d 735 (2014) ("[W]e hold that the statutory notice requirement of MCL 600.6431 applies to constitutional torts.")

The Court disagrees with the Mass Tort Plaintiffs that the harsh-and-unreasonable consequences exception applies. This exception avoids strict application of a statute of limitations period when it is demonstrated that applying this limitation "is so harsh and unreasonable in [its] consequence" that it "effectively divest[s] plaintiffs of the access to the courts intended by the grant of the substantive right." *Rusha*, 307 Mich App at 311 (quoting *Curtin v Dep't of State Hwys*, 127 Mich App 160, 163; 339 NW2d 7 (1983)). It "is merely a judicial recognition that in limited cases, when the practical application of the Legislature's statutorily imposed procedural requirements is unreasonable or completely divests a claimant of his or her right to pursue a constitutional claim, those procedural requirements are unconstitutional." *Mays I*, 323 Mich App at 33. The Michigan Court of Appeals suggested this exception may be applied to waive strict compliance with the notice-and-verification requirements of MCL 600.6431, *see id.* at 30-37, but the Michigan Supreme Court neither affirmed nor denied this position, finding instead that a question of fact existed as to the putative class's compliance with the statutory notice requirements because there was a question of fact as to when the class action plaintiffs' claims accrued. *Mays II*, 506 Mich at 186.

There is no dispute as to when the Mass Tort Plaintiffs' claims accrued. The Edenville Dam failed on May 19, 2020, causing nearly immediate, severe flooding throughout the affected region. The dam failed while the world was in the midst of the COVID-19 pandemic. The

difficulties inflicted on litigants by the pandemic were addressed on a statewide basis by at least two administrative orders, Administrative Order Nos. 2020-3 and 2020-18, and at least two Executive Orders, i.e., Nos. 2020-58 and 2020-112, that operated to toll limitations and notice periods during the most critical times (COVID-19 Tolling). See *Carter v DTN Mgmt Co*, ___ Mich ___; ___ NW2d ___ (2024) (Docket No. 165425). EGLE's counsel represented to the Court during the September 9, 2024 on-the-record hearing that it agrees the COVID-19 Tolling applies to plaintiffs' claims and that such tolling was included in evaluating whether the plaintiffs identified in Exhibits A through D timely complied with the COCA. The Court agrees that such tolling must be applied.

Given the application of the COVID-19 Tolling, the record does not support a finding that requiring compliance with MCL 600.6431, 600.6434 and 600.6452 is unreasonable or completely divests any plaintiff of his/her right to pursue a constitutional claim. *Mays I*, 323 Mich App at 30-37. Unlike *Mays*, there is no question of fact as to when plaintiffs' claims accrued. The damages alleged here accrued from a single, well-publicized event, i.e., the failure of the Edenville Dam that occurred on May 19, 2020. The Court notes, the first complaint filed related to these matters was filed May 22, 2020. This distinguishes this case from others seeking recovery under an inverse condemnation claim. *Hart v Detroit*, 416 Mich 488, 503-505; 331 NW2d 438 (1982) ("The time of 'taking' in an inverse condemnation action is not necessarily coincidental with the time plaintiff's cause of action accrues . . . It is common for such actions to involve a continuous wrong by the condemner rather than a single act.").

This fact also counsels against application of the harsh-and-unreasonable consequences exception. Two unpublished opinions addressing claims raised in the context of the Flint Water

Crisis illustrate this. In the first, *Bacon v State*, an unpublished per curiam opinion of the Michigan Court of Appeals, issued on August 16, 2018 (Docket No. 339009), the Court of Appeals declined to apply the harsh-and-unreasonable-consequences exception to waive compliance with the statutory notice provisions in MCL 600.6431 when “a single discrete event,” i.e., hospitalization for Legionella pneumonia, triggered the statutory notice period. The Court of Appeals explained that “[a]lthough plaintiff may not have been fully aware that her illness might be attributable to exposure to the Flint River water, she was certainly aware that she was injured and that further investigation into the cause may have been warranted.” In this situation, the harsh-and-unreasonable-consequences exception did not apply to waive the statutory notice requirements.

In a second case, *Gulla v State*, unpublished per curiam opinion of the Michigan Court of Appeals, issued January 24, 2019 (Docket No. 340017), the court found the harsh-and-unreasonable-consequences exception applied to claims brought by Flint residents who claimed their “plumbing, water heaters and service lines were damaged due to contaminated water repeatedly flowing through them.” Such damage allegedly occurred gradually, was not immediately or readily apparent to the homeowner, and was not publicly acknowledged until after the statutory notice period had passed. The court found that it “would have required far more than ordinary knowledge and diligence on the part of plaintiffs and their counsel” to learn of the alleged damage and provide notice of the intended lawsuit within the statutory notice requirement. As a result, the court found that this latter scenario “clearly supported” application of the harsh-and-unreasonable consequences exception.

Analyzing the present consolidated case in light of *Bacon* and *Gulla*, the Court finds the first more applicable here. The Edenville Dam failure on May 19, 2020, was a single, discrete and

well-publicized act that resulted in significant flooding over a vast landmass. Even if an individual plaintiff did not know immediately of potential liability by the state, there is no allegation that the individual could not have reasonably known that the event occurred and caused damage to his or her property, at least to an extent necessary to instigate an investigation. Notably, unlike the Flint Water Crisis where fraudulent concealment was alleged, the Mass Tort Plaintiffs' complaint is void of any allegation any state defendant took efforts to conceal the damage or the governmental oversight actions with respect to the dam. The harsh-and-unreasonable exception does not apply to extend, toll, or waive the statutory notice, verification and filing requirements in the COCA.

Given that the harsh-and-unreasonable consequences exception does not apply, the Court must dismiss those claims for which the plaintiff did not file a verified notice of intent or verified claim within six months from the date the claim accrued and who failed to file a complaint within three years from the date of accrual. The statutory language is mandatory, stating “[f]or a claim against this state for property damage or personal injuries, the claimant *shall* file the claim or notice . . . within 6 months after the event that gives rise to the claim.” MCL 600.6431(1) (emphasis added). A claim accrues “at the time the wrong upon which the claim is based was done regardless of the time when damage results.” MCL 600.5827; *Mays II*, 506 Mich at 181-182 (applying MCL 600.5827 to interpret MCL 600.6431). If the state defendants' actions led to the failure of the dam, which is alleged here, such breach harmed the plaintiffs on-or-shortly after the date that the Edenville Dam failed and severe flooding occurred in the surrounding areas. This failure occurred on May 19, 2020. Failure to file a verified notice of intent or verified complaint within six months of this date (plus all COVID-19 Tolling) warrants dismissal of the claim. See *McMahan v Brennan*, 492 Mich 730, 745; 822 NW2d 747 (2012) (claim is barred by failure to file

the verified notice of intent within six months as required by “the plain language” of MCL 600.6431).

Moreover, in addition to the statutory notice-and-verification requirements, § 6452 of the COCA “forever bar[s]” a claim against this state “unless the claim is filed with the clerk of the court … within 3 years after the claim first accrues.” MCL 600.6452(1). This section does not require verification and, indeed, while a verified complaint is a requirement of § 6434 of the COCA, there is no requirement that the verified complaint be filed within the three-year period. Faced with a similar question, the Michigan Court of Appeals allowed a case to continue when the plaintiff timely filed a verified notice of intent within the six-month period, and filed an amended, verified complaint outside of the time frames required in MCL 600.6431. *Chisholm v State Police*, unpublished per curiam opinion of the Michigan Court of Appeals, issued August 3, 2023 (Docket No. 355691), *app den* 6 NW3d 360 (Mich 2024).

A similar result applies here. Any claim filed by a plaintiff who did not file a verified notice of intent or a verified claim within six months of the date his or her claims accrued, plus any extension of time applicable because of COVID-19 Tolling, must be dismissed, as well as any claim from a plaintiff who failed to file a complaint within three years from the date the claims accrued, plus any extension of time applicable because of COVID-19 Tolling. However, any plaintiff who filed the timely verified notice of intent and timely filed an unverified complaint will have thirty (30) days from the date of this opinion and order to file an amended complaint with appropriate verification, if necessary. Plaintiffs who verified a short form complaint outside of the three-year time period (as disclosed by counsel and noted in Exhibit B) need to do nothing further. Claims that fail to provide verification within thirty days shall be dismissed.

Finally, the Court finds plaintiffs' assertion that the verification can be completed by a person other than the named plaintiff dubious, but sufficient to present a question of fact warranting denial of EGLE's motion for the purpose of allowing these plaintiffs to provide an explanation and documentation supporting a position that the person who signed the verification had the legal authority to sign on behalf of the named plaintiff before dismissing the plaintiff from this case.

It is of utmost importance that plaintiffs demonstrate the signatory had legal authority to bind the claimant to the truth of what is verified. The Court respectfully disagrees with the Mass Tort Plaintiffs that the law allows someone other than the claimant to verify a notice or complaint on the named plaintiffs' behalf. MCL 600.6431 requires that a claimant file a notice or claim that contains among other things, a "signature and verification by the claimant before an officer authorized to administer oaths." MCL 600.6431(2)(d); see also MCL 600.6431(4) (requiring "the claimant" file "the claim or notice under subsection (1) . . . within 6 months after the event that gives rise to the claim.") The Michigan Supreme Court has recognized that an attorney's signature on the notice is not sufficient to satisfy the COCA requirements but, rather, the notice must be signed by the complainant before an officer authorized to administer oaths. See *Fairley v Dep't of Corrections*, 497 Mich 290, 294; 871 NW2d 129 (2015). If an attorney's signature is not sufficient, it is unclear how a family member can sign a verification on behalf of a claimant or why the signatory itself is not appearing as a named plaintiff if, indeed, s/he was a joint property owner. However, it is possible that a power-of-attorney in effect at the time the signatory verified the claim may grant such power, or a person who is identified in documents creating a trust or delineating authority within a business entity can authorize an individual to sign on behalf of such trust or entity, or documents conferring joint property ownership could authorize the signature of one owner to bind all owners. The record currently leaves the Court with only these conjectures.

The Mass Tort Plaintiffs shall have forty-five (45) days to provide the Court with sufficient explanation and documentation supporting any claim that an individual other than the named claimant had legal authority to sign the verification on behalf of the named claimant, thereby binding the claimant to the truth of what is alleged in the complaint.

III. CONCLUSION

For the reasons explained above, EGLE's motion for summary disposition is GRANTED with respect to those persons or entities who failed to file a timely, verified notice of intent or verified claim within six months from the date their claims accrued, plus any extension of time authorized by the COVID-19 Tolling. According to the information received from counsel, Exhibit A contains a list of these plaintiffs.

EGLE's motion is also GRANTED with respect to any person or entity who filed a timely, verified notice of intent but failed to file his or her complaint within three years from the date the claim accrued, plus any extension of time authorized by the COVID-19 Tolling. According to the information received from counsel, Exhibit A contains a list of these plaintiffs.

The claims filed on behalf of the plaintiffs identified in Exhibit A must be DISMISSED, unless within thirty (30) days from the date of this opinion and order, counsel files a joint stipulation identifying those plaintiffs whom the parties agree are named on Exhibit A in error, or counsel for the Mass Tort Plaintiffs files a motion with supporting documentation demonstrating that any plaintiff identified on Exhibit A timely complied with MCL 600.6431(1), (2) and (4) and MCL 600.6452(1). EGLE's counsel shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of such motion to file a response, and the Court will issue an opinion and order with a final Exhibit A identifying those plaintiffs, if any, now on Exhibit A whose claims must be dismissed.

Finally, EGLE's motion is DENIED with respect to any person or entity who filed a timely verified notice of intent and filed an unverified complaint within three years from May 19, 2020, plus any extension of time authorized by the COVID-19 Tolling. According to the information received by the Court, Exhibit B contains a list of these plaintiffs. Some of these plaintiffs remedied the lack of verification by verifying the short form complaint. For those whom the Court is aware, this is noted in the comments and nothing further is needed. For those without a comment, these plaintiffs shall have thirty (30) days from the date of this opinion and order to file an amended complaint with appropriate verification. EGLE's counsel may file a response to this filing within fourteen (14) days, but it is not required to do so. The Court will issue an opinion and order with a final Exhibit B identifying those plaintiffs, if any, now identified on Exhibit B whose claims must be dismissed for failure to file a verified complaint as required by MCL 600.6434(2).

EGLE's motion is also DENIED with respect to any person or entity for which the Mass Tort Plaintiffs' counsel aver that the verification requirements were satisfied, including those persons or entities for whom the verification was signed by a person other than the named plaintiff. Exhibits C and D contain a list of these plaintiffs. These plaintiffs shall have thirty (30) days from the date of this opinion and order to provide either a copy of the timely filed verification, complaint, and/or verified complaint, as is necessary to comply with MCL 600.6431, 600.6434, and 600.6452. In addition, or in the alternative, these plaintiffs shall have forty-five (45) days from the date of this opinion and order to provide explanation and supporting documentation for plaintiffs' position that a person other than the named plaintiff had legal authority to sign on behalf of the named plaintiff. In each instance described above, EGLE shall have fourteen (14) days after the date a plaintiff makes this filing to provide a response. The Court will issue an opinion and

order with final Exhibits C and D identifying those plaintiffs, if any, now identified on Exhibits C and D whose claims must be dismissed.

IT IS ORDERED:

This is not a final order and does not resolve the last pending issue in this case.

Date: October 23, 2024



Hon. James Robert Redford
Judge, Court of Claims



Exhibit A					
Plaintiffs who allegedly failed to comply with MCL 600.6431 and/or MCL 600.6452					
Name	Case No.	Timely Verified NOI	Verified but Untimely Complaint	Timely but Unverified Complaint	Comments
Thomas Angle	20-94			X	
Sandra Angle	20-94			X	
James Blain	20-94			X	
Matilda Zorn	20-94			X	
Michael Braley	20-94			X	
Benjamin Carnes	20-94			X	
Quintarrior Carter	20-94			X	
Connie Cauchi	20-94			X	
Matthew Chesney	20-94			X	
Michelle Chesney	20-94			X	
James Danielak	20-94			X	
Michael Dubbs	20-94			X	
Stephanie Dubbs	20-94			X	
Karen Ebnit	20-94			X	
Gary Ertman	20-94			X	
Valarie Ertman	20-94			X	
Victor Guzman	20-94			X	
Heather Guzman	20-94			X	
David Holland	20-94			X	
Beatrice Hunt	20-94			X	
Jodie Jones	20-94			X	
Zachary Knight	20-94			X	
Robert Rutledge	20-94			X	
Ashley Rutledge	20-94			X	
Charlene Thompson	20-94			X	
Melissa Trip	20-94			X	
Michael Wedge	20-94			X	
Cheryl Wedge	20-94			X	
Karen Wright	20-94			X	
Kristina Zirwes	20-94			X	
Deana Beckham	20-239			X	
Timothy Crawford	20-239			X	
Michelle Crawford	20-239			X	
Chadwick Larocque	20-239			X	
Diane Meno	20-239			X	
Flats Condominium Campground	20-241			X	
Bobbie Hoskins	20-241			X	
Shawn Reilly	20-241			X	
Maragaret See	20-241			X	
Richard Smith	20-241			X	
Shawn Reilly	20-241			X	
Maragaret See	20-241			X	
Richard Smith	20-241			X	
Dennis Yiatras	20-241			X	
Christopher Koch	20-94			X	
Denette Kranz	20-94			X	
Mary Jo Kreager	20-94			X	
Brian Ginardi	23-74		X		
Greg Kelly	23-74		X		
Joyce McDonald	23-74		X		
Natalie Strayer	23-74		X		
Eric Warsinskey	23-74		X		
Toni Yates	23-74		X		

Kane Anderson	23-76		X			
Kris Baringer	23-76		X			
Tammy Benedict	23-76		X			
Elaine Brooks	23-76		X			
Donald Krajkiewicz (by POA Kowlaski)	23-76		X			
Etsey Kitchen	23-76		X			
Patrick Fagan	23-76		X			
Hanlee Equipment, LLC	23-76		X			
Hazel Inman	23-76		X			
Troy Laundra	23-76		X			
Russell Law	23-76		X			
Bridget Mitchell	23-76		X			
Lynn Richard	23-76		X			
Ernest Romero	23-76		X			
Sanford Lake Marina Corp	23-76		X			
Nickolas Satek	23-76		X			
Elaiyna Schwartzkopf	23-76		X			
Christine Tejkl	23-76		X			
Betty Jane Terrell	23-76		X			
Robert Wainwright	23-76		X			
Wainwright Revocable Trust	23-76		X			
Edward Abbot	20-94		X		No mention of verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's wife, Cynthia Abbot, verified the complaint.	
Edward Abbot	20-94		X		No mention of verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's wife, Cynthia Abbot, verified the complaint.	
Gretchen Aerstin	20-94		X		No mention of verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Franklyn Aerstin, verified the complaint.	
Mary Jo Andera	20-94		X		No mention of verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Frank Andera, verified the complaint.	
Geri Antonucci	20-94		X		No mention of verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Andrew Antonucci, verified the complaint.	
Michele Atwell	20-94		X		No mention of verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Jesse Atwell, verified the complaint.	
David Baringer	20-94		X		No mention of verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Jana Baringer, verified the complaint.	
R&R Auto Sales	20-94		X		No mention of verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's owner, Ray Bauers, personally verified the complaint.	
Virginia Bucholz	20-94		X		No mention of verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Thomas Bucholz, verified the complaint.	
Donald Burkhart	20-94		X		No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Carol, verified the complaint.	

Vickie Blizzard	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, John Blizzard, verified the complaint.	
Stacey Campbell	20-94			X	No mention of verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Tom Campbell, verified the complaint.	
Wayne Caskey	20-94			X	No mention of verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Josette, verified the complaint.	
Sandra Domine	20-94			X	No mention of verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Patrick, verified the complaint.	
Gaylord Cheney	20-94			X	No mention of verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Sharon, verified the complaint.	
Penelope Churchfield	20-94			X	No mention of verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Robert, verified the complaint.	
Jerry Clairmont	20-94			X	No mention of verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Barbara, verified the complaint.	
John Collins	20-94			X	No mention of verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Debra, verified the complaint.	
Kristen Cooper	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Warren, verified the complaint.	
William Coyle	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Carol, verified the complaint.	
Sherry Crawford	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, David, verified the complaint.	
Lisa Duford	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Randy, verified the complaint.	
Justine Dzon	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Terry, verified the complaint.	
Edward Farber	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Krayndel, verified the complaint.	
William Farnworth	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Shirley, verified the complaint.	
Shirley Fink	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, David, verified the complaint.	

Thomas Firth	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Stacy, verified the complaint.	
Gary Fleming	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Susan, verified the complaint.	
Mary Gawlowski	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, James, verified the complaint.	
Kyle Gay	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Betty, verified the complaint.	
Alanah Pietryga	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that a joint owner, John Giacoletti, verified the complaint.	
Patricia Grakauskas	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Ken, verified the complaint.	
Dale Greenfield	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Sharon, verified the complaint.	
Justin Abbe	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that a joint owner, Rosemarie Gross, verified the complaint.	
Renee Abbe	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that a joint owner, Rosemarie Gross, verified the complaint.	
Hames Abbe	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that a joint owner, Rosemarie Gross, verified the complaint.	
Tim Grove	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Robin, verified the complaint.	
David Hall	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Kimberly, verified the complaint.	
Nancy Hanson	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, George, verified the complaint.	
Marc Hart	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that a joint owner, Miles Hart, verified the complaint.	
Denise Hart	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that a joint owner, Miles Hart, verified the complaint.	
Beverly Haskins	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Regg David Haskins, verified the complaint.	

Lori Hildebrandt	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Marc Jason, verified the complaint.	
Neil Hock	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Dawn Hock, verified the complaint.	
Randy Holcomb	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Sandra, verified the complaint.	
Lynette Houk	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Eric, verified the complaint.	
Bernard Howard	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Judy, verified the complaint.	
Jill Hurley	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Bill, verified the complaint.	
Diane Kalinowski	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Kenneth, verified the complaint.	
Kim Kareus	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Kris, verified the complaint.	
Creig Kelley	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Katherine, verified the complaint.	
Annette Kennedy	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that a joint owner, Kenneth Kennedy, verified the complaint.	
Debbie Anderson	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that a joint owner, Kenneth Kennedy, verified the complaint.	
Dennis Klott	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Deborah, verified the complaint.	
Doris Lamblin	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Jeanette, verified the complaint.	
Alanna Lee	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Ryan Lee, verified the complaint.	
Anthony Naismith	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's joint owner, Amy Lindman, verified the complaint.	
Jill LoDuca	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, James Loduca, verified the complaint.	

Bradley Lyons	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Barbara, verified the complaint.	
Paper Moon Rentals	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that an owner, Susan MacKellar, verified the complaint.	
Linda Magirl	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Patrick Magirl, verified the complaint.	
Robert Malecki	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Michael Malecki, verified the complaint.	
Jacob Michels	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Megan Michels, verified the complaint.	
Robin Mikkola	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, James, verified the complaint.	
Carol Miller	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Donald, verified the complaint.	
Lisa Mills	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Gerald Mills, verified the complaint.	
Mark VanWormer	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that a joint owner, Mary Moe, verified the complaint.	
Adam Mohowitsch	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Sara, verified the complaint.	
Wayne Morrison	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff is Wayne "Larry" Morrison verified the complaint as Larry Morrison.	
Barbara Morrison	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff is Wayne "Larry" Morrison verified the complaint as Larry Morrison.	
Denise Novak	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Raymond, verified the complaint.	
Richard Olinzock	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Margaret, verified the complaint.	
Keith Petre	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Colleen, verified the complaint.	

Julie Petrusha	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Raymond, verified the complaint.	
Linda Piechotte	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Robert, verified the complaint.	
Carl Priemer	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Maxine, verified the complaint.	
Denis Railling	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Dave, "verified 'Denise'."	
Jodi Remacle	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Jacob, verified the complaint.	
Reuchan Russian	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Charles, verified the complaint.	
Sanford Fraternal Order of Eagles	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that an officer of the named plaintiff, David S. Hocknesse, verified the complaint.	
Christina Schumacher	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Jared, verified the complaint.	
Kay Smith	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Dean, verified the complaint.	
Darla Stone	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, James, verified the complaint.	
Rosemary Stover	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Raymond, verified the complaint.	
Jason Thomas	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Amy Jo, verified the complaint.	
Karen Trosko	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, William, verified the complaint.	
Sarah Damude	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's partner and joint owner, Gerald Vanderhoff, verified the complaint.	
James VanHorn	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Deborah VanHorn, verified the complaint.	

Mary Ellen Vaydick	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Frank, verified the complaint.	
Tom Villareal	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Jo Ann, verified the complaint.	
Allison Voakes	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Michael, verified the complaint.	
Patricia Waltz	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Dan, verified the complaint.	
Jean Willis	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, James, verified the complaint.	
Jillian Wise	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Adrian, verified the complaint.	
Kristin Wolfgang	20-94			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Tyler, verified the complaint.	
McQuaid Masonry, LLC	20-140			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that an owner, Matt McQuaid, verified the complaint.	
Terri Winterlee	20-237			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Scott, verified the complaint.	
Aaron Blake	20-239			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Amy, verified the complaint.	
Paul Dust	20-239			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Geralyn, verified the complaint.	
Ronald Guentensberger	20-239			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Rachel, verified the complaint.	
Lori Hildebrandt	20-239			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Marc Jason, verified the complaint.	
Justin Laplow	20-239			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Caitlyn, verified the complaint.	
Kaime Ramos	20-239			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Armando, verified the complaint.	

Gloria Summer	20-239			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Klaus, verified the complaint.	
Keith Swett	20-239			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Laura, verified the complaint.	
Jennifer Tweddle	20-239			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Robert Allen Tweddle, verified the complaint.	
Krista Waltz	20-239			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Don, verified the complaint.	
David Woodward	20-239			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Amy Marie, verified the complaint.	
Patricia Breasbois	20-241			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, David John, verified the complaint.	
Arthur Chastain	20-241			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Judy Kay, verified the complaint.	
Brett Moore	20-241			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Tamra Clark Moore, verified the complaint.	
Helen Douglas	20-241			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Patrick, verified the complaint.	
Randy Ewell	20-241			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Charles, verified the complaint.	
Lorrie Gaft	20-241			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Richard, verified the complaint.	
Cathy Kelly	20-241			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Michael, verified the complaint.	
Glen Krol	20-241			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Cindy, verified the complaint.	
Caitlyn Laplow	20-241			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Justin, verified the complaint.	
Cheryl Liebetreu	20-241			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Kevin, verified the complaint.	

Gregory McDaniels	20-241			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Fraeda, verified the complaint.	
Michelle Miclea	20-241			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Cristian, verified the complaint.	
Linda Rupp	20-241			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Stephen A., verified the complaint.	
Linda Rupp	20-241			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Stephen A., verified the complaint.	
Daniel Sheridan	20-241			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Marci, verified the complaint.	
Dianne Stasa	20-241			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, John, verified the complaint.	
Florence Stupar	20-241			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Robert, verified the complaint.	
Charles Surbrook	20-241			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Eileen, verified the complaint.	
Jani Verga	20-241			X	No mention of a verified NOI. Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Lawrence, verified the complaint.	

Exhibit B					
<i>Plaintiffs who allegedly failed to comply with MCL 600.6434</i>					
Name	Case No.	Timely Verified	Timely but Unverified	Comments	
		NOI	Complaint		
Deborah Adams	23-74	X	X		
Stephen Altenburg	23-74	X	X		
Stephanie Baker	23-74	X	X		
Richard Baldwin	23-74	X	X		
Karin Bartling	23-74	X	X		
Stephanie Bauer	23-74	X	X		
Pamela Boundy	23-74	X	X		
Holly Bowen	23-74	X	X		
Bruce Bowland	23-74	X	X		
Marci Brown	23-74	X	X		
Kurt Bunn	23-74	X	X		
Quad Investments	23-74	X	X		
Stephen Carlson	23-74	X	X		
Debra Carter	23-74	X	X		
Angela Cergnul	23-74	X	X		
Chris Church	23-74	X	X		
Tina Church	23-74	X	X		
Lynette Cook	23-74	X	X		
Phil Cook	23-74	X	X		
Marilyn Currie	23-74	X	X		
Pat Curtis	23-74	X	X		
Diane Cuyler	23-74	X	X		
Roxanna Day	23-74	X	X		
Debra De Maria	23-74	X	X		
Eugene Degeer	23-74	X	X		
Beth Degeer	23-74	X	X		
April Richards	23-74	X	X		
Monica Dhar	23-74	X	X		
Larry Dietzel	23-74	X	X		
Shelley Douglas	23-74	X	X		
Sheri Somerville	23-74	X	X		
Trudy Dutot	23-74	X	X		
Carl Erickson	23-74	X	X		
Elizabeth Erickson	23-74	X	X		
Maguerite Kuhn	23-74	X	X		
Vivian Gamez	23-74	X	X		
David Gamez	23-74	X	X		
John Gerber	23-74	X	X		
Clay Gibbs	23-74	X	X		
Stephen Goff	23-74	X	X		
Elizabeth Goff	23-74	X	X		
Melody Graham	23-74	X	X		
Linda Hamilton	23-74	X	X		
Ron Hayes	23-74	X	X		
Meg Hayes	23-74	X	X		
Aaron Henning	23-74	X	X		
Kyra Henning	23-74	X	X		
Martin Hill	23-74	X	X		
Dennis Johnson	23-74	X	X		
Mark Kabobel	23-74	X	X		
Janet Kabobel	23-74	X	X		
Diane Kaminski	23-74	X	X		
John Kennedy	23-74	X	X		
Heidi Kidd	23-74	X	X		
Kristin Komara	23-74	X	X		
Craig Kostus	23-74	X	X		
Jim Lambert	23-74	X	X		
Gail Hoffman	23-74	X	X		
Jill Larkin	23-74	X	X		
Courtney Lawrence	23-74	X	X		
Nicole Liebrock	23-74	X	X		
Matt Lind	23-74	X	X		
Scott Little	23-74	X	X		
Dana Livingston	23-74	X	X		

Scott Marquiss	23-74	X	X		
Karen Muehlfelt	23-74	X	X		
Antwon Mathis	23-74	X	X		
Jason McCrandall	23-74	X	X		
Kendra McCrandall	23-74	X	X		
Jim McGuire	23-74	X	X		
Neil McLaughlin	23-74	X	X		
Lori McLaughlin	23-74	X	X		
Brooke Meunier	23-74	X	X		
Steve Morse	23-74	X	X		
Robert Mosqueda	23-74	X	X		
Gloria Mosqueda	23-74	X	X		
Carolyn Niemic	23-74	X	X		
Josie Paquette	23-74	X	X		
Courtney Parasiliti	23-74	X	X		
Stacey Peariso	23-74	X	X		
Kendra Pelletier	23-74	X	X		
Kevin Pelletier	23-74	X	X		
James Petricek	23-74	X	X		
Christine Petricek	23-74	X	X		
Keith Poulsom	23-74	X	X		
Cheryl Poulsom	23-74	X	X		
Alexander Pyzik	23-74	X	X		
Barbara Pyzik	23-74	X	X		
Lorraine Raymond	23-74	X	X		
Anthony Ridenour	23-74	X	X		
Liz Ridenour	23-74	X	X		
Chris Roenicke	23-74	X	X		
Cynthia Roenicke	23-74	X	X		
Casey Rusch	23-74	X	X		
Jackie Sabin	23-74	X	X		
Scott Sawyer	23-74	X	X		
Janis Schweinsberg	23-74	X	X		
Peter Shaheen	23-74	X	X		
Peter Shaheen for Patty Shaheen	23-74	X	X		
Hui Shao	23-74	X	X		
Jing Ren	23-74	X	X		
Amber Simmons	23-74	X	X		
Sharon Skinner	23-74	X	X		
Randall Smigiel	23-74	X	X		
Shelli Smigiel	23-74	X	X		
Michelle Smith	23-74	X	X		
Ralph Stockwell	23-74	X	X		
Stacey Stockwell	23-74	X	X		
Jordan Strackie	23-74	X	X		
Stryker's Lakeside Marina, Inc.	23-74	X	X		
Ryan Such	23-74	X	X		
Cindy Taylor	23-74	X	X		
Rob Vallentine	23-74	X	X		
Nancy Vallentine	23-74	X	X		
Charli Van Orden	23-74	X	X		
Joel Visser	23-74	X	X		
Gayle Baker	23-74	X	X		
Gary Vogel	23-74	X	X		
Leslie Walker	23-74	X	X		
Sarah Warren	23-74	X	X		
Denise Weiscroft	23-74	X	X		
John Wendling	23-74	X	X		
Timothy Wiegand	23-74	X	X		
Susan Wiegand	23-74	X	X		
John Winchester	23-74	X	X		
Catherine Wisler	23-74	X	X		
Julie Worsley	23-74	X	X		
Jill Young	23-74	X	X		
Jim Young	23-74	X	X		
Jan Young	23-74	X	X		
Mackenzie Zimmerman	23-74	X	X		

Charles Ager	23-76	X	X		
Glenn Isenhart	23-76	X	X		
Lori Isenhart	23-76	X	X		
Janice Anderson	23-76	X	X		
Cheryl Authier	23-76	X	X		
Mark Authier	23-76	X	X		
Kristin Emerson	23-76	X	X		
Lowell Bel	23-76	X	X		
Amanda Welch	23-76	X	X		
Donald Krajkiewicz (by POA Kowlaski)	23-76	X	X		
M Denise Wells	23-76	X	X		
Bonnie McManus	23-76	X	X		
Melissa Lemieux	23-76	X	X		
Charles Lenk	23-76	X	X		
Denise Lenk	23-76	X	X		
Lot 161 Rental, LLC	23-76	X	X		
Duane Paul	23-76	X	X		
Maria Douglas	23-76	X	X		
James Hamilton	23-76	X	X		
Nancy Hamilton	23-76	X	X		
Dorri Hart	23-76	X	X		
Glenn Hart	23-76	X	X		
Jeri Hodge	23-76	X	X		
Angela Osmond	23-76	X	X		
Wesley Osmond	23-76	X	X		
Osmond Rentals, LLC	23-76	X	X		
Lois Perepeluk	23-76	X	X		
Elizabeth Klass	23-76	X	X		
Oksana Urban	23-76	X	X		
Janice Smith Trust	23-76	X	X		
Gerald Spencer	23-76	X	X		
Tabitha Spencer	23-76	X	X		
Leslie Davidson	23-76	X	X		
Cheryl Wozniak	23-76	X	X		
Kellen Young	23-76	X	X		
Charles Ager	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." No indication that this individual personally verified the complaint.	
Kane Anderson	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." No indication that this individual personally verified the complaint.	
Donald Page	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." No indication that this individual personally verified the complaint.	
Arrianna Pomranky	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." No indication that this individual personally verified the complaint.	
Kathie Pontious	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." No indication that this individual personally verified the complaint.	
Michael Pontious	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." No indication that this individual personally verified the complaint.	
Cody Reese	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." No indication that this individual personally verified the complaint.	
Jessie Reese	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." No indication that this individual personally verified the complaint.	
Kean Richard	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." No indication that this individual personally verified the complaint.	

Lynn Richard	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." No indication that this individual personally verified the complaint.	
David Sullivan	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." No indication that this individual personally verified the complaint.	
Amanda Vanhoey	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." No indication that this individual personally verified the complaint.	
Jason Vanhoey	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." No indication that this individual personally verified the complaint.	
Carlene Laszlo	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." No indication that this individual personally verified the complaint.	
Diane Kott	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." No indication that this individual personally verified the complaint.	
Tommie James	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." No indication that this individual personally verified the complaint.	
Matthew James	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." No indication that this individual personally verified the complaint.	
Shawn Dunlap	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." No indication that this individual personally verified the complaint.	
Patricia Griffin	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." No indication that this individual personally verified the complaint.	
Andrew Allen	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the Ager short form complaint.	
Julie Allen	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the Ager short form complaint.	
Susan Balcirak	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the Ager short form complaint.	
Darla Ball	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the Ager short form complaint.	
Edward Ball	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the Ager short form complaint.	
Christopher Billings	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the Ager short form complaint.	

Brian Bryer	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the Ager short form complaint.	
Laura Bryer	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the Ager short form complaint.	
Lonnie Burton	23-76	X	X	plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the Ager short form complaint.	
Rosetta Burton	23-76	X	X	plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the Ager short form complaint.	
Damien Buxton	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the Ager short form complaint.	
Lynne Chantiny	23-76	X	X	plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the Ager short form complaint.	
Terry Chantiny	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the Ager short form complaint.	
Marsha Deliso	23-76	X	X	plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the Ager short form complaint.	
Peter Deliso	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the Ager short form complaint.	
Gale Deveroux	23-76	X	X	plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the Ager short form complaint.	
Janice Deveroux	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the Ager short form complaint.	
Etsey Kitchen	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the Ager short form complaint.	
Benjamin Gallagher	23-76	X	X	plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the Ager short form complaint.	
Kerry Goodall	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the Ager short form complaint.	
Michael Goodall	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the Ager short form complaint.	
Christin Grieman	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the Ager short form complaint.	

Christopher Grieman	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original <i>Ager</i> Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the <i>Ager</i> short form complaint.	
Daniel Haight	23-76	X	X	plaintiff "on the original <i>Ager</i> Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the <i>Ager</i> short form complaint.	
Mary Herkner	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original <i>Ager</i> Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the <i>Ager</i> short form complaint.	
Mary Herst	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original <i>Ager</i> Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the <i>Ager</i> short form complaint.	
Ruhl Hoover	23-76	X	X	plaintiff "on the original <i>Ager</i> Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the <i>Ager</i> short form complaint.	
Holly Humphreys	23-76	X	X	plaintiff "on the original <i>Ager</i> Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the <i>Ager</i> short form complaint.	
Michael Humphreys	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original <i>Ager</i> Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the <i>Ager</i> short form complaint.	
Amanda Irwin	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original <i>Ager</i> Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the <i>Ager</i> short form complaint.	
Joseph Irwin	23-76	X	X	plaintiff "on the original <i>Ager</i> Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the <i>Ager</i> short form complaint.	
Kayla Jensen	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original <i>Ager</i> Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the <i>Ager</i> short form complaint.	
Nicole Kramer	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports that the plaintiff personally verified the "Ager short form complaint," as well as the "original <i>Fagan</i> complaint."	
Darrin Johnson	23-76	X	X	plaintiff "on the original <i>Ager</i> Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the <i>Ager</i> short form complaint.	
Janet Johnson	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original <i>Ager</i> Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the <i>Ager</i> short form complaint.	
Karl Kamenka	23-76	X	X	plaintiff "on the original <i>Ager</i> Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the <i>Ager</i> short form complaint.	
Pam Kastl	23-76	X	X	plaintiff "on the original <i>Ager</i> Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the <i>Ager</i> short form complaint.	
Troy Laundra	23-76	X	X	plaintiff "on the original <i>Ager</i> Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the <i>Ager</i> short form complaint.	
Bethany Law	23-76	X	X	plaintiff "on the original <i>Ager</i> Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the <i>Ager</i> short form complaint.	

Hazel Mitchell	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the Ager short form complaint.	
Richard Mitchell	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the Ager short form	
John Neuenfeldt	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the Ager short form	
Teresa Neuenfeldt	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the Ager short form complaint.	
Geoffrey Rettig	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the Ager short form	
Sheila Rettig	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the Ager short form	
Ernest Romero	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the Ager short form complaint.	
Charlotte Schnell	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the Ager short form complaint.	
Mary Scholtz	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the Ager short form complaint.	
Christine Schriber	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the Ager short form complaint.	
Michael Simon	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the Ager short form	
Tina Simon	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the Ager short form	
Tyler Smith	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the Ager short form	
Patricia Card	23-76	X	X	plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the Ager short form complaint.	
Edna M. Thomas Trust	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports that the verification was filed for the trust on the Ager short form complaint. Plaintiff is also named on the original Ager complaint.	
Melissa Tripp	23-76	X	X	plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the Ager short form complaint.	
Troy Tripp	23-76	X	X	plaintiff "on the original Ager Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the Ager short form complaint.	

Joseph Tejkl	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original <i>Ager</i> Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the <i>Ager</i> short form	
Amanda Van Regenmorter	23-76	X	X	plaintiff "on the original <i>Ager</i> Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the <i>Ager</i> short form complaint.	
Jonathan Van Regenmorter	23-76	X	X	plaintiff "on the original <i>Ager</i> Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the <i>Ager</i> short form complaint.	
Nancy Vance	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original <i>Ager</i> Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the <i>Ager</i> short form complaint.	
Lon Wackerle	23-76	X	X	plaintiff "on the original <i>Ager</i> Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the <i>Ager</i> short form complaint.	
Robert Weaver	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original <i>Ager</i> Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the <i>Ager</i> short form	
Sharon Weaver	23-76	X	X	plaintiff "on the original <i>Ager</i> Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the <i>Ager</i> short form complaint.	
Sarah Webb	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original <i>Ager</i> Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the <i>Ager</i> short form	
Daniel Weeks	23-76	X	X	plaintiff "on the original <i>Ager</i> Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the <i>Ager</i> short form complaint.	
Sandra Williams	23-76	X	X	plaintiff "on the original <i>Ager</i> Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the <i>Ager</i> short form complaint.	
Thomas Williams	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports this individual is a named plaintiff "on the original <i>Ager</i> Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the <i>Ager</i> short form	
Bryce Wilson	23-76	X	X	plaintiff "on the original <i>Ager</i> Complaint." Counsel reports that this individual plaintiff verified the <i>Ager</i> short form complaint.	
Kellon Young	23-76	X	X	Counsel reports that the individual is a named plaintiff "on the original <i>Ager</i> complaint." No indication that the individual personally verified the complaint.	

Exhibit C						
Plaintiffs for which a person or entity other than named plaintiff verified the complaint.						
Name	Case No.	Timely Verified NOI	Unverified NOI	Verified but Untimely Complaint	Timely but Unverified Complaint	Comments
Theron Anderson Living Trust	23-76	X			X	Counsel reports that Trust is a named plaintiff on the "original Ager complaint. Theron and Karen Anderson both personally verified the original Ager complaint. Verification for the Trust filed with the Ager short form complaint.
Susan Arent	23-76	X			X	Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Mark Arent, verified the "original Ager complaint." Counsel also reports that the individual is a named plaintiff on the "original Ager complaint, which was otherwise verified by someone with knowledge."
Kris Baringer	23-76	X			X	Counsel reports that this individual is a named plaintiff on the "original Ager complaint, which was otherwise verified by someone with knowledge." Plaintiff's spouse, Mary Ann Baringer, personally verified the the original Ager complaint.
Rebecca S. Bastuk Trust	23-76	X			X	Counsel reports that Trust is a named plaintiff on the "original Ager complaint, which was otherwise verified by someone with knowledge." Rebecca Bastuk personally verified the original Ager complaint. Verification for the Trust filed with the Ager short form complaint.
Alaine Bearss	23-76	X			X	Counsel reports that spouse, David Bearss, verified the "original Ager complaint."
Lorna Bismack	23-76	X			X	Counsel reports that plaintiff is a named plaintiff on the "original Ager complaint, which was otherwise verified by someone with knowledge." Spouse, Jeffrey Bismack, verified the "original Ager complaint."
Gary Briggs	23-76	X			X	Counsel reports that plaintiff is a named plaintiff on the "original Ager complaint, which was otherwise verified by someone with knowledge." Spouse, Analee Briggs, verified the "original Ager complaint."
Grace Ann Cooper (by POA Kowalski	23-76	X			X	Counsel reports that this individual is a named plaintiff on the "original Ager complaint, which was otherwise verified by someone with knowledge" and that this individual personally verified the "Ager short form complaint." In addition, plaintiff's spouse, Donald Krajkiewicz, personally verified "original Ager complaint."
Craig Coulson	23-76	X			X	Counsel reports that this individual is a named plaintiff on the "original Ager complaint, which was otherwise verified by someone with knowledge." In addition, plaintiff's spouse, Wanda Coulson, personally verified "original Ager complaint."
Patrick Fagan	23-76	X			X	Spouse, Pamela Fagan, verified the original Ager complaint.
Richard William Gaskell II and Kimberly Gaskell Trust	23-76	X			X	Counsel reports that this Trust is a named plaintiff on the "original Ager complaint." In addition, Richard William Gaskell II and Kimberly Gaskell Trust filed a verification with the short form complaint and these individuals personally verified the "original Ager complaint."
Jack Geiger	23-76	X			X	Counsel reports that co-owner of the properties at issue (J&D Plumbing & Heating, Ltd. And K&T Investments), Tony Geiger, verified the original Ager Complaint.'
Tony Geiger	23-76	X			X	Counsel reports that plaintiff verified the "original Ager Complaint" using the "name of his business J&D Plumbing & Heating, LTD and K&T Investments." In addition, plaintiff is a "named Plaintiff on the original Ager complaint," which was allegedly verified by someone with knowledge..."
Kallie Geiling	23-76	X			X	Counsel reports that her spouse, James Geiling, verified the "original Ager complaint." Plaintiff is the named plaintiff on the "original Ager complaint" which was otherwise verified by someone with knowledge.
Holly Hellebuyck	23-76	X			X	Counsel reports that spouse, Duane Hellebuyck, verified the "original Ager complaint" and that plaintiff personally verified the "Ager short form complaint."
Ernest Herst	23-76	X			X	Spouse, Mary Herst, personally verified the Ager Short form complaint.
Marilyn Hoover	23-76	X			X	Counsel reports that plaintiff's spouse, Ruhl Hoover, verified the short form complaint and is a named plaintiff on the original Ager complaint.

Hazel Inman	23-76	X			X	Counsel reports that plaintiff's spouse, Christopher Billings, personally verified the <i>Ager</i> short form complaint. Plaintiff is also a named plaintiff on the original <i>Ager</i> complaint, which was allegedly verified by someone with knowledge.
Dolores Jozwiak	23-76	X			X	Counsel claims that plaintiff's spouse, Gary Jozwiak, verified the "original <i>Ager</i> complaint." Plaintiff is also a named plaintiff on the original <i>Ager</i> complaint which was otherwise verified by someone with knowledge.
Patrick Kastl	23-76	X			X	Counsel reports that plaintiff's spouse, Pamela Kastl, verified the "Anger short form complaint." In addition, plaintiff is named on the "original <i>Ager</i> complaint."
Russell Law	23-76	X			X	Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Bethany Law, verified "the <i>Ager</i> short form complaint."
Jennifer Rinner	23-76	X			X	Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Timothy Rinner, verified the original <i>Ager</i> complaint.
Jimmie Robinson	23-76	X			X	Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Deborah Robinson, verified the "original <i>Ager</i> complaint."
Sanford Village Apartments	23-76	X			X	Apartments was filed with the "Ager short form complaint." Darla and Edward Ball, the owners of the Sanford Village Apartments, personally verified the Ager short form complaint.
Nickolas Satek	23-76	X			X	Counsel reports that co-residents of the property, Anne & Shawn Satek, both personally verified the "original <i>Ager</i> complaint."
Partlo Revocable Trust	23-76	X			X	Cheryl and Kurt Partlo verified the <i>Ager</i> complaint. Verification filed on behalf of the Trust with "the <i>Ager</i> short form complaint."
Jeffrey Lyons	23-76	X			X	Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Belinda Lyons, verified the "original <i>Ager</i> complaint."
Mach Properties, LLCC	23-76	X			X	Counsel reports that an owner of the property, Linda Shepard, verified the "original <i>Ager</i> complaint." Verification for Mach Properties LLC was also submitted with the <i>Ager</i> short form complaint.
Michael E. and Deborah Maticka Revocable Trust	23-76	X			X	Counsel reports that Michael and Deborah Maticka both verified the "original <i>Ager</i> complaint." Counsel also reports that verification for Michael and Deborah Revocable Trust was filed with the "Ager short form complaint."
Vanessa Maxson	23-76	X			X	Counsel reports that the named plaintiff is the owner of Momma Bee's cleaning services and verified the "original <i>Ager</i> complaint" under the name Momma Bee's Cleaning Services.
Dawn Meitler	23-76	X			X	Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Lee Meitler, verified the "original <i>Ager</i> complaint."
Mid-Michigan Repair Service, Inc.	23-76	X			X	Verification for Mid-Michigan Repair Service, Inc. filed with the "Ager short form complaint."
Bridget Mitchell	23-76	X			X	Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Daniel Mitchell, verified the "original <i>Ager</i> complaint."
Mitchell Family Trust	23-76	X			X	Counsel reports that verification for Mitchell Family Trust filed with the "Ager short form complaint." Plaintiff named on the original complaint.
O'Dell Spotlight Beauty	23-76	X			X	Counsel reports that the owners of O'Dell Spotlight Beauty, Amarito O'Dell and Brad O'Dell, both verified the "original <i>Ager</i> complaint." Verification filed for O'Dell Spotlight Beauty with the "Ager short form complaint."
Keith Shattuck	23-76	X			X	Spouse, Kathleen Shattuck, verified the "original <i>Ager</i> complaint."
Wayne Sheets	23-76	X			X	Spouse verified the original <i>Ager</i> complaint. Reportedly a named plaintiff on a complaint filed within three years; personal verification on a short form complaint.
Christine Tejkl	23-76	X			X	Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Joseph Tejkl, verified the "Ager short form complaint."
Betty Jane Terrell	23-76	X			X	Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's son, James Terrell, verified the "original <i>Ager</i> complaint."
Robert C Weaver and Sharon A Weaver Joint Living Trust	23-76	X			X	Counsel reports that the named plaintiff trust filed a verification with the short form complaint .
Marie Woodcock	23-76	X			X	Counsel reports that this individual is a named plaintiff on the "original <i>Ager</i> complaint." In addition, the named plaintiff's spouse, Terry Woodcock, reportedly verified "original <i>Ager</i> complaint."
Walter Woodruff	23-76	X			X	Counsel reports that this individual is a named plaintiff on the "original <i>Ager</i> complaint." In addition, the named plaintiff's spouse, Janithan Woodruff, reportedly verified "original <i>Ager</i> complaint."

Delores Weeks	23-76	X			X	Counsel reports that the named plaintiff's spouse, Daniel Weeks, verified the short form complaint.
Leslie Davidson	23-76					Counsel reports that this individual is a named plaintiff on the "original Ager complaint" and that plaintiff's husband, Michael Taylor, personally verified "original Ager complaint."
Carol Whittaker	23-76	X			X	Counsel reports that this individual is a named plaintiff on the "original Ager complaint" and that this individual personally verified the "Ager short form complaint." In addition, plaintiff's husband, Patrick Whittaker personally verified "original Ager complaint."
Mary Bebe	23-76				X	Counsel reports that Plaintiff did not personally file a notice of intent but "the co-resident of the property," Lonnie Burton, filed a verified notice of intent for the property. Lonnie Burton also personally verified the "short form Ager complaint." Plaintiff is a named plaintiff on the original Ager complaint which, according to counsel, was "otherwise verified by someone with knowledge."
Elaine Brooks	23-76				X	Counsel reports that Plaintiff did not personally file a verified notice of intent, but plaintiff's husband, J. Dee Brooks, filed a verified NOI for the property at issue. Counsel also reports that Plaintiff personally verified the "original Ager complaint" and is a named plaintiff on the same.
Patrick Fagan	23-76					Counsel reports that Plaintiff did not personally file a verified notice of intent, but plaintiff's spouse, Pamela Fagan, filed a verified NOI for the property at issue. Counsel also avers that plaintiff's spouse, Pamela Fagan, personally verified the "original Ager complaint."
Leslie Davidson	23-76				X	Counsel reports that this individual is a named plaintiff on the "original Ager complaint" and that plaintiff's husband, Michael Taylor, personally verified "original Ager complaint."
Hanlee Equipment, LLC	23-76				X	Counsel reports that the verified notice of intent was not filed in the name of Hanlee Equipment, LLC, but by "the owner of the business, Anna Merillat." The complaint was verified for Hanlee Equipment, LLC on the original verified complaint, and plaintiff is named on the "original Ager complaint."

	Exhibit D				
--	------------------	--	--	--	--

Plaintiffs dispute EGLE's position that the complaint was not verified for these plaintiffs.

Name	Case No.	NOI	Timely Verified	Unverified	Verified but Untimely	Timely but Unverified	Comments
				NOI	Complaint	Complaint	
Patricia Gaylord	20-94				X		Verified
John Gaylord	20-94				X		Verified
Jose Hernandez	20-94				X		Verified.
Dawn Schaller	20-94				X		Verified
Roger Schmidt	20-94				X		Verified
Wayne Van Den Boom	20-94				X		Verified.
Neil Eicher	20-94				X		Verified.
Linda Willard	20-94				X		Verified
Virginia Campbell	20-241				X		Verified
Antionette Dunikowski	20-241				X		Verified
Wayne Van Den Boom	20-94				X		Verified
Larry LeBlanc	23-76	X			X		Personally verified the <i>Ager</i> complaint.
Mary LeBlanc	23-76	X			X		Personally verified the <i>Ager</i> complaint.
Gloria Luce	23-76	X			X		Personally verified the <i>Ager</i> complaint.
Tammy Benedict	23-76	EGLE reports no NOI; Plaintiff reports verified NOI filed.				X	Personally verified the "original <i>Ager</i> complaint."