Court of Appeals, State of Michigan

ORDER

PEOPLE OF MI V WILLIAM EDWARD ARMOUR III

Docket No. 381197

LC No. 08-001845-01-FC

Christopher M. Murray
Presiding Judge

Mark T. Boonstra

Colleen A. O'Brien
Judges

The delayed application for leave to appeal is DENIED because defendant has failed to establish that the trial court committed any error that might warrant reversal in denying the successive motion for relief from judgment. Admittedly, in the order appealed here, the trial court appears to have credited defense counsel's seeming representation that defendant was 20 years old at the time of the subject offenses. However, our review of the record indicates that defendant was born in September 1986 and would thus have been 21 years old when he committed those offenses on October 28, 2007. Accordingly, we conclude that the trial court reached the correct ultimate result by denying defendant's request for resentencing. See generally *People v Eads*, ___ Mich ___; ___ NW3d ___ (2026) (Supreme Court Docket No. 168205) (summarizing the pertinent constitutional protections that our Supreme Court has extended to those who are "under 21 years old" at the time the offense is committed); *People v Adamowicz*, 346 Mich App 213, 231; 12 NW3d 35 (2023) (explaining that " 'lines must be drawn' in determining when an adult can be held criminally responsible such that a legislatively imposed sentence of life in prison without the possibility of parole must be upheld," and that, "clearly, 21 is beyond any line currently established in Michigan or elsewhere") (citation omitted). Moreover, we agree with the trial court's conclusion that defendant's other stated grounds for relief lack any substantive merit.

Christopher Murray

Presiding Judge

A true copy entered and certified by Jerome W. Zimmer Jr., Chief Clerk, on

September 24, 2026
Date

Jerome W. Zimmer Jr.
Chief Clerk