

Order

Michigan Supreme Court
Lansing, Michigan

December 15, 2021

Bridget M. McCormack,
Chief Justice

ADM File No. 2019-34

Amendment of the October 13,
2021 Order Amending Rule 2, Rule 3,
Rule 4, Rule 5, Rule 6, and Rule 7
and Adopting Rule 3a and Rule
4a of the Rules for the Board of
Law Examiners

Brian K. Zahra
David F. Viviano
Richard H. Bernstein
Elizabeth T. Clement
Megan K. Cavanagh
Elizabeth M. Welch,
Justices

On order of the Court, effective immediately, the following order revises the order entered on October 13, 2021 that amends Rule 2, Rule 3, Rule 4, Rule 5, Rule 6, and Rule 7 and adopts Rule 3a and Rule 4a of the Rules for the Board of Law Examiners.

[Additions to the text are indicated in underlining and
deleted text is shown by strikeover.]

On order of the Court, notice of the proposed changes and an opportunity for comment in writing and at a public hearing having been provided, and consideration having been given to the comments received, the following amendments of Rule 2, Rule 3, Rule 4, Rule 5, Rule 6, and Rule 7 and additions of Rule 3a and Rule 4a of the Rules for the Board of Law Examiners are adopted, effective August~~March~~ 1, 2022, and will be in effect for the first time for the February~~July~~ 2023~~22~~ administration of the bar examination in Michigan.

[The content of the order is unchanged.]

Staff comment: The amendments implement a Uniform Bar Examination in Michigan with implementation set for the February 2023~~July 2022~~ administration of the bar examination. ~~Delay in companion legislative action may defer implementation of these rules.~~ The original implementation target date was the July 2022 bar examination. However, that target date was predicated on two things: enactment of accompanying legislation and implementation of a Michigan law component in the examination itself. Neither of those things have occurred, thus, requiring a deferment in the implementation of the UBE in Michigan.

The staff comment is not an authoritative construction by the Court. In addition, adoption of an amendment in no way reflects a substantive determination by this Court.

CAVANAGH, J. (*concurring*). [Justice Cavanagh's statement is unchanged from the initial order.]

BERNSTEIN, J. (*dissenting*). [Justice Bernstein's statement is unchanged from the initial order.]



I, Larry S. Royster, Clerk of the Michigan Supreme Court, certify that the foregoing is a true and complete copy of the order entered at the direction of the Court.

December 15, 2021

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Larry S. Royster", is written over a horizontal line.

Clerk