STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF CLAIMS

D.M. ROTTERMOND, INC,

Plaintiff,

v

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY,

Defendant.
_____/

Docket No. 25–000136–MT

Honorable Sima G. Patel

Joanne B. Faycurry (P40993)
Dickinson Wright, PLLC
Attorney for Plaintiff
2600 West Big Beaver
Suite 300
Troy, MI 48084
(248) 433–7200
Jfaycurry@dickinsonwright.com

Jadranko J. Tomic Bobas (P75494)
Wesley M. Margeson (P81232)
Assistant Attorneys General
Michigan Dep't of Attorney General
Revenue and Tax Division
Attorneys for Defendant
P.O. Box 30754
Lansing, MI 48909
(517) 335–7584
TomicBobasJ@michigan.gov
MargesonW@michigan.gov

_____/

**JOINT STIPULATION TO PLACE CASE IN ABEYANCE**

The parties, through their respective counsel, for their Joint Stipulation to Place Case in Abeyance, state as follows:

1. Plaintiff filed this action challenging Treasury's Intents to Assess Nos. 1403137, 1403138, and 1403163 relating to Sales Tax due for the tax periods December 31, 2021 through December 31, 2023 (collectively, the "Sales Tax Periods in Issue").

2. Plaintiff also challenges a series of Use Tax Intents to Assess Nos. 1403200, 1403202, and 1403204 relating to Use Tax due for the tax periods December 31, 2021 through December 31, 2023 (collectively, the "Use Tax Periods in Issue").

3. Plaintiff asserts that it did not receive timely notice of the Sales and Use Tax Intents to Assess, and thus, Plaintiff asserts that it did not request an informal conference.

4. Plaintiff has since requested and received an informal conference with the challenged Sales and Use Tax Intents to Assess. There are Informal Conference proceedings currently pending with the challenged Sales and Use Tax Intents to Assess.

5. The Informal Conference proceedings would allow the Department to establish a review team to analyze the accuracy and results of the audit that resulted in the issuance of the Sales and Use Tax Intents to Assess. It would also allow the review team to collaboratively meet with Plaintiff in an effort to expediently and accurately complete such review. It is anticipated that the result may negate the need for further protest of the Sales and Use Tax Intents to Assess.

6. Such efforts may also allow for the resolution of the adjustments made in this matter, in collaboration with counsel for the Department.

7. In the interest of judicial efficiency and economy, the parties jointly agree to place this case in abeyance pending the conclusion of the informal conference proceedings.

8. If the parties are not able to resolve this matter within 60 days following the conclusion of the informal conference proceedings, the parties agree to advise this Court and present the Court with a proposed scheduling order.

9. Therefore, the parties respectfully request that this Court issue an order placing this matter in abeyance, including all deadlines and discovery, pending the conclusion of the informal conference proceedings.

Respectfully submitted,

D.M. ROTTERMOND, INC

By: */s/ Joanne B. Faycurry (w/permission)*

Joanne B. Faycurry (P40993)
Dickinson Wright PLLC
Attorney for Plaintiff
2600 West Big Beaver Rd.
Suite 300, Troy, MI 48084

Dated: April 21, 2026

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY

By: */s/ Jadranko J. Tomic Bobas*

Jadranko J Tomic Bobas (P75494)
Wesley M. Margeson (P81232)
Assistant Attorneys General
Revenue and Tax Division
Attorneys for Defendant
P.O. Box 30754, Lansing, MI 48909

Dated: April 21, 2026

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF CLAIMS

D.M. ROTTERMOND, INC,

Plaintiff,

v

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY,

Defendant.
_____/

Docket No. 25–000136–MT

Honorable Sima G. Patel

Joanne B. Faycurry (P40993)
Dickinson Wright, PLLC
Attorney for Plaintiff
2600 West Big Beaver
Suite 300
Troy, MI 48084
(248) 433–7200
Jfaycurry@dickinsonwright.com

Jadranko J. Tomic Bobas (P75494)
Wesley M. Margeson (P81232)
Assistant Attorneys General
Michigan Dep't of Attorney General
Revenue and Tax Division
Attorneys for Defendant
P.O. Box 30754
Lansing, MI 48909
(517) 335–7584
TomicBobasJ@michigan.gov
MargesonW@michigan.gov

_____/

**ORDER PLACING CASE IN ABEYANCE**

The Court, having read and approved the parties joint stipulation requesting that this case be placed in abeyance pending the conclusion of the informal conference proceedings.

IT IS ORDERED that this case is placed in abeyance and all deadlines are suspended until further order of the court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that discovery is stayed until further order of the court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Parties shall notify this Court within 60 days of the conclusion of the informal conference proceedings.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Date: April 28, 2026

Honorable Sima G. Patel
Court of Claims Judge