STATE OF MICHIGAN

COURT OF CLAIMS

POLICE OFFICERS ASSOCIATION OF MICHIGAN and PAUL GRAF,

Plaintiffs,

v

Case No. 26-000046-MM

MICHIGAN COMMISSION ON LAW ENFORCEMENT STANDARDS and TIMOTHY BOURGEOIS, in his official capacity,

Hon.

Defendants.
_____/

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

The Court orders plaintiffs to show cause why this matter should not be dismissed for lack of a verified claim or notice of intention to file a claim under MCL 600.6431(2)(d) of the Court of Claims Act.

The requirements of the Court of Claims Act are conditions precedent to "successfully expose the defendant state agencies to liability," *Fairley v Dep't of Corrections*, 497 Mich 290, 298; 871 NW2d 129 (2015), and the failure to comply with the notice and verification requirements mandates dismissal of the case, *Elia Cos, LLC v Univ of Mich Regents*, 511 Mich 66, 68; 993 NW2d 392 (2023).

Plaintiffs did not file a notice of intention to file a claim with the clerk of this Court. The complaint that was filed on March 6, 2026, does not contain a signature and verification by the

claimant before an officer authorized to administer oaths as required by MCL 600.6431(2)(d).

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED:

1. Plaintiffs are directed to show cause within 14 days of the date of this order why this Court should not dismiss this matter.

2. Should plaintiffs fail to respond by the deadline or fail to comply with the verification requirements in MCL 600.6431(2)(d) of the Court of Claims Act, this matter will be dismissed.

3. To remedy this defect, plaintiffs may file an amended complaint with the following statement at the conclusion: "I declare under penalty of perjury that this Complaint has been examined by me and that its contents are true to the best of my information, knowledge, and belief." This statement must be signed before a licensed notary.

Date: March 9, 2026

Hon. Michael F. Gadola
Chief Judge, Court of Claims