STATE OF MICHIGAN

COURT OF CLAIMS

VINCE BURGESS,

Plaintiff,

v

Case No. 26-000085-MM

MICHIGAN STATE POLICE,

Hon. Sima G. Patel

Defendant.
_____/

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

On the Court's own motion, the Court orders plaintiffs to show cause why this matter should not be dismissed for lack of a verified claim or notice of intention to file a claim under MCL 600.6431(2)(d) of the Court of Claims Act.

The requirements of the Court of Claims Act are conditions precedent to "successfully expose the defendant state agencies to liability," *Fairley v Dep't of Corrections*, 497 Mich 290, 298; 871 NW2d 129 (2015), and the failure to comply with the notice and verification requirements mandates dismissal of the case, *Elia Cos, LLC v Univ of Mich Regents*, 511 Mich 66, 68; 993 NW2d 392 (2023).

Plaintiff filed a notice of intention to file a claim in accordance with the Court of Claims Act on April 20, 2026, and a complaint on April 25, 2026. Neither document contains "[a] signature and verification by the claimant before an officer authorized to administer oaths" as required by MCL 600.6431(2)(d) and MCL 600.6434(2). Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED:

1. Plaintiff is directed to show cause no later than 14 days from date of entry of the order, why this Court should not dismiss this matter.

2. Should plaintiff fail to respond by the deadline, or fail to comply with the notice and verification requirements in MCL 600.6431(2)(d) of the Court of Claims Act, this matter will be dismissed.

3. To remedy this defect, plaintiff may file an amended complaint with the following statement at the conclusion: "I declare under penalty of perjury that this Complaint has been examined by me and that its contents are true to the best of my information, knowledge, and belief." This statement must be signed before a licensed notary.

Date: April 27, 2026

Hon. Sima G. Patel
Judge, Court of Claims