STATE OF MICHIGAN

COURT OF CLAIMS

REGINALD CLEMENTS,

Plaintiff,

v

Case No. 26-000110-MZ

ABIGAIL LYNDA ZIESMAN, individually, and MICHIGAN STATE POLICE, solely in its capacity as owner of the motor vehicle,

Hon. Randy J. Wallace

Defendants.
_____ /

ORDER FOR BRIEFING AND TO SHOW CAUSE

BRIEFING

IT IS ORDERED that plaintiff shall respond to defendant Michigan State Police's 6/16/26 motion for summary disposition no later than July 14, 2026. Defendant Michigan State Police (MSP) shall file a reply no later than seven days after the date of filing of plaintiff's response.

IT IS ALSO ORDERED that, in addition to the issue raised by MSP in its motion for summary disposition, the parties shall address the following in their respective briefs, i.e., in plaintiff's response brief and MSP's reply brief: In the event that the Court grants MSP's motion, in part, by dismissing plaintiff's claims against MSP due to plaintiff's failure to timely file a notice of intent or claim under MCL 600.6431 of the Court of Claims Act, MCL 600.6401 *et seq*., should this Court remand the case to the Genesee Circuit Court in light of the fact that the complaint contains no allegations that the sole remaining defendant, Abigail Lynda Ziesman, was employed by the State of Michigan or that she was acting in the course and scope of her employment with

the State of Michigan at the time of the accident; i.e., because there is no allegation that Ziesman was involved in the exercise of a government function at the time of the accident.

SHOW CAUSE

Because the May 15, 2026 complaint does not contain a signature and verification by the claimant before an officer authorized to administer oaths as required by MCL 600.6431(2)(d) and MCL 600.6434(2), IT IS FURTHER ORDERED:

1. Plaintiff is directed to show cause no later than 14 days from date of entry of this order why this Court should not dismiss this matter.

2. Should plaintiff fail to respond by the deadline or fail to comply with the verification requirements in MCL 600.6431(2)(d) of the Court of Claims Act, this matter will be dismissed.

3. To remedy this defect, plaintiff may file an amended complaint (**not** a notice of intent at this stage of the proceedings) with the following statement at the conclusion: "I declare under penalty of perjury that this Complaint has been examined by me and that its contents are true to the best of my information, knowledge, and belief." This statement must be signed before a licensed notary.

Date: June 17, 2026

Randy J. Wallace

Hon. Randy J. Wallace
Judge, Court of Claims